

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983
Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

FAX COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER CESAR B. PAULA

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Attn: Examiner Cesar B. Paula
Group Art Unit 2178
Patent Examining Corps
Facsimile Center
Washington, D.C. 20231

FROM: David W. Victor

OUR REF: 0054.0038
TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2


PTO FAX NUMBER 1-571-273-4128

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310/556-7983, or fax us at 310/556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted: INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM (PTOL-413A)

Applicant: J.R. Ehrman
Serial No.: 09/613,083
Filed: July 10, 2000
Group Art Unit: 2178
Docket No.: STL920000055

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on September 22, 2005

By: 
Name: David W. Victor

PTOL-413A
0054.0038**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**Application No.: 09/613,083
Examiner: Cesar B. PaulaFirst Named Applicant: J.R. Ehrman
Art Unit: 2178 Status of Application: After Final**Tentative Participants:**(1) David W. Victor (2) Cesar B. Paula
(3) _____ (4) _____Proposed Date of Interview: September 22, 2005 Proposed Time: 2:00 PM (EST)**Type of Interview Requested:**(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be shown or demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, provide a brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
Obviousness Rej.	<u>1-24</u>	<u>Background Section and Edberg</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:**

With respect to claims 1, 9, and 17, want to discuss why the cited art does not teach claim requirement of translating a non-Unicode character string associated with a constant having a Unicode data type into a Unicode character string.

With respect to claims 6, 14, and 27, want to discuss why cited art does not teach a scope specifying a portion of a program to translate, where the scope indicates to translate the entire computer program. There is no mention in the cited art of a scope or other value indicating to translate non-Unicode character strings for the specified scope, - the entire computer program.

With respect to claims 7, 8, 15, 16, 23, and 24, want to discuss why cited art does not teach a local scope or a constant scope that applies only to a constant, such that translation occurs only to that portion of the computer program specified by the scope.

An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)